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1. Questions about you
1.1 How many semesters have you already been studying in the Master's program?
☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ >=6
1.2 Where have you earned your Bachelor's degree?
☐ in Paderborn ☐ somewhere else in Germany ☐ outside Germany

2. General Questions
2.1 How do you rate the PG's difficulty level?
☐ too easy ☐ too difficult
☐ approximately 20h ☐ far less ☐ far more
2.2 How much time did you spend on PG work per week?
☐ Software Engineering ☐ Computer Systems ☐ Algorithm Design ☐ Intelligence and Data ☐ Networks and Communication
2.3 Which discipline matches your project best?
☐ Software Engineering ☐ Algorithm Design ☐ Networks and Communication
☐ Computer Systems ☐ Intelligence and Data
2.4 Please rate the PG regarding the terms research-oriented and practice-oriented
☐ research-oriented ☐ practice-oriented

3. Choice of the Project Group
3.1 I was looking for a PG associated to
☐ Software Engineering ☐ Computer Systems ☐ Algorithm Design ☐ Intelligence and Data ☐ Networks and Communication
☐ I had no preference
3.2 I was looking for a PG with a focus on
☐ research ☐ practice
3.3 I joined my preferred PG
☐ yes ☐ no
☐ I had no preferred PG
3.4 I attended the project groups announcement event
☐ yes ☐ no
3.5 The event provided me a realistic impression of the PG
☐ agree ☐ disagree
3.6 Prior to the PG I had an accurate impression of how the PG will be like
☐ agree ☐ disagree

4. Advisors
4.1 The advisors where easy to get into contact with
☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ N/A
4.2 The advisors competently answered questions
☐ agree ☐ disagree ☐ N/A
4.3 The advisors should have given more guidelines
☐ agree ☐ disagree
4.4 The advisors managing of the group was too little
☐ agree ☐ disagree
4.5 The advisors exercised too much influence
☐ agree ☐ disagree
4.6 The advisors provided me with sufficient feedback
☐ agree ☐ disagree
4.7 The atmosphere between group members and advisors was pleasant
☐ agree ☐ disagree

5. Tasks and Team
5.1 The tasks were understandable
☐ agree ☐ disagree
5.2 I was satisfied with my responsibilities
☐ agree ☐ disagree
5.3 I was satisfied with my workload (regarding the amount of work I did and the work I got assigned)
☐ agree ☐ disagree
5.4 The group's internal communication and self-organization worked well
☐ agree ☐ disagree
5.5 The atmosphere between group members was pleasant
☐ agree ☐ disagree
5.6 The other group members provided me with sufficient feedback
☐ agree ☐ disagree
5. Tasks and Team [Fortsetzung]

5.7 I was satisfied with the other members of the PG

6. Tools

6.1 The following tools were provided by the advisors (e.g. mailing list, repositories, wiki, software, hardware, etc.)

6.2 The tools provided by the advisors were useful

6.3 The advisors organized the tools requested by the group

6.4 The following tools were organized by the group:

7. Conclusion

7.1 I already knew major portions of the PG's contents so I only learned little

7.2 My prior knowledge was sufficient to effectively participate in the PG's work

7.3 The PG provided me with deeper and sounder understanding of the relevant topics

7.4 I gained useful experience

7.5 I would like to deepen my knowledge in the field the group worked on

7.6 My overall impression of the project group

8. Praise, Criticism and Suggestions

8.1 Here you can give further feedback

8.2 The Lecturer asks to answer further Questions?  □ Yes  □ No

8.3 Answers to the further Question(s) of the Lecturer: