

# MUSTER

EvaSys

Evaluation of the Project Group



Bitte so markieren:      Bitte verwenden Sie einen Kugelschreiber oder nicht zu starken Filzstift. Dieser Fragebogen wird maschinell erfasst.  
 Korrektur:      Bitte beachten Sie im Interesse einer optimalen Datenerfassung die links gegebenen Hinweise beim Ausfüllen.

## 1. Questions about you

- 1.1 How many semesters have you already been studying in the Master's program?  1  2  3  4  5  >=6
- 1.2 Where have you earned your Bachelor's degree?  in Paderborn  somewhere else in Germany  outside Germany

## 2. General Questions

- 2.1 How do you rate the PG's difficulty level?  too easy     too difficult
- 2.2 How much time did you spend on PG work per week?  approximately 20h  far less  far more
- 2.3 Which discipline matches your project best?  Software Engineering  Algorithm Design  Networks and Communication  
 Computer Systems  Intelligence and Data
- 2.4 Please rate the PG regarding the terms research-oriented and practice-oriented  research-oriented     practice-oriented

## 3. Choice of the Project Group

- 3.1 I was looking for a PG associated to  Software Engineering  Algorithm Design  Networks and Communication  
 Computer Systems  Intelligence and Data  I had no preference
- 3.2 I was looking for a PG with a focus on  research     practice
- 3.3 I joined my preferred PG  yes  no  I had no preferred PG
- 3.4 I attended the project groups announcement event  yes  no
- 3.5 The event provided me a realistic impression of the PG  agree     disagree
- 3.6 Prior to the PG I had an accurate impression of how the PG will be like  agree     disagree

## 4. Advisors

- 4.1 The advisors were easy to get into contact with  agree     disagree  N/A
- 4.2 The advisors competently answered questions  agree     disagree  N/A
- 4.3 The advisors should have given more guidelines  agree     disagree
- 4.4 The advisors managing of the group was too little  agree     disagree
- 4.5 The advisors exercised too much influence  agree     disagree
- 4.6 The advisors provided me with sufficient feedback  agree     disagree
- 4.7 The atmosphere between group members and advisors was pleasant  agree     disagree

## 5. Tasks and Team

- 5.1 The tasks were understandable  agree     disagree
- 5.2 I was satisfied with my responsibilities  agree     disagree
- 5.3 I was satisfied with my workload (regarding the amount of work I did and the work I got assigned)  agree     disagree
- 5.4 The group's internal communication and self-organization worked well  agree     disagree
- 5.5 The atmosphere between group members was pleasant  agree     disagree
- 5.6 The other group members provided me with sufficient feedback  agree     disagree

# MUSTER

## 5. Tasks and Team [Fortsetzung]

5.7 I was satisfied with the other members of the PG agree      disagree

## 6. Tools

6.1 The following tools were provided by the advisors (e.g. mailing list, repositories, wiki, software, hardware, etc.)

6.2 The tools provided by the advisors were useful agree      disagree

6.3 The advisors organized the tools requested by the group agree      disagree

6.4 The following tools were organized by the group:

## 7. Conclusion

7.1 I already knew major portions of the PG's contents so I only learned little agree      disagree

7.2 My prior knowledge was sufficient to effectively participate in the PG's work agree      disagree

7.3 The PG provided me with deeper and sounder understanding of the relevant topics agree      disagree

7.4 I gained useful experience agree      disagree

7.5 I would like to deepen my knowledge in the field the group worked on agree      disagree

7.6 **My overall impression of the project group** good      poor

## 8. Praise, Criticism and Suggestions

8.1 Here you can give further feedback

8.2 The Lecturer asks to answer further Questions?  Yes  No

8.3 Answers to the further Question(s) of the Lecturer: